

**ASYMPTOTIC THEOREMS FOR ERROR  
STRUCTURES :**

**a) CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM IN  
HILBERT SPACES**

**b) APPROXIMATIONS OF THE  
BROWNIAN MOTION (B.M) IN THE  
WIENER SPACE**

Christophe Chorro, OSAKA, 2004/11/18

## OPENING REMARKS :

As the normal law appears naturally in asymptotic probability theorems we will see in this talk that a family of error structures plays exactly the same role in the framework of Dirichlet forms.

**Definition :** Let  $B$  be a separable Banach space. We set

$$\mathcal{A} = \{f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n); n \in \mathbb{N}, f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap Lip, (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in (B')^n\}.$$

We will say that an error structure on  $B$

$$S = (B, \mathcal{B}(B), m, \mathbb{D}, \Gamma)$$

is of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type if  $m$  is a gaussian measure on  $B$ ,  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{D}$  and for  $F = f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\Gamma[F] = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) a_{i,j} \quad (1)$$

where the coefficients  $a_{i,j}$  only depend on  $(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)$ .

## Examples :

- The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck structure on  $\mathbb{R}$

$$(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), m = \mathcal{N}(0, 1), H^1(m), (u')^2).$$

- The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck structure of parameter  $c$  on the Wiener space  $\mathcal{C} = (C_0([0, 1], \mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  equipped with the Wiener measure  $\nu$  :

$$S_{OU}^c = (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}), \nu, \mathbb{D}_{OU}, \Gamma_{OU}^c)$$

where  $\Gamma_{OU}^c$  is entirely determined by its action on the first Wiener chaos,  $\forall f \in L^2([0, 1], dx)$

$$\Gamma_{OU}^c \left[ \int_0^1 h(s) dB_s \right] = c \int_0^1 h(s)^2 ds.$$

If  $F = f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  is a regular cylindrical function,

$$\Gamma_{OU}^c[F] = c \times \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \langle \lambda_i, \lambda_j \rangle_{L^2([0,1], dx)} \cdot$$

# STUDY PLAN

## I) Finite dimension

- 1) Image and Product of error structures
- 2)  $\mathbb{D}$ -independence
- 3) Convergence in  $\mathbb{D}$ -law
- 4) Central Limit Theorem in  $\mathbb{R}^d$

## II) Infinite dimension

- 1) Vectorial domain of a Dirichlet form in the sense of Feyel-La Pradelle.
- 2) Extension of the functional calculus and image of an error structure by an element of its vectorial domain.
- 3) Central limit theorem in Hilbert spaces.

## III) Approximations of the Brownian motion in the Wiener space.

# FINITE DIMENSION

## 1) Image and infinite products

### • Image

Let  $S = (W, \mathcal{W}, m, \mathbb{D}, \Gamma)$  be an error structure and  $U \in \mathbb{D}^d$ . We can see easily that the bilinear form

$$(C^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap Lip, F \mapsto \mathcal{E}[F(U)])$$

is closable and that its smallest closed extension  $(\mathbb{D}_U, \mathcal{E}_U)$  is a local Dirichlet form with a squared field operator denoted by  $\Gamma_U$ . The error structure

$$U_*S = (\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d), U_*m, \mathbb{D}_U, \Gamma_U)$$

is called the **image of  $S$  by  $U$**  or the  **$\mathbb{D}$ -law of  $U$** .

**Example :** If  $F$  is the distribution function of a standard gaussian variable on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

$$F_*(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), m = \mathcal{N}(0, 1), H^1(m), (u')^2)$$

is called the **pseudo-gaussian** error structure on  $[0, 1]$ .

## • Infinite products

Let  $S_n = (W_n, \mathcal{W}_n, m_n, \mathbb{D}_n, \Gamma_n)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , be a family of error structures. The product structure

$$(W, \mathcal{W}, m, \mathbb{D}, \Gamma) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n$$

is defined by  $(W, \mathcal{W}, m) = (\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} W_n, \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_n, \otimes_{n=0}^{\infty} m_n)$  with an explicit domain  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $\forall F \in \mathbb{D}$ ,

$$\Gamma[F] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma_n[F].$$

## 2) $\mathbb{D}$ -independence

The random variables  $U_1 \in \mathbb{D}^p$  and  $U_2 \in \mathbb{D}^m$  are said to be  $\mathbb{D}$ -independent if

$$(U_1)_* S \otimes (U_2)_* S = (U_1, U_2)_* S.$$

### 3) Convergence in $\mathbb{D}$ -law

We say that a sequence  $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{D}^p$  converges in  $\mathbb{D}$ -law if there exists an error structure  $\tilde{S} = (\mathbb{R}^p, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^p), P, \tilde{\mathbb{D}}, \tilde{\Gamma})$  such that :

- i)  $(U_n)_* m \rightarrow P$  in distribution,
  - ii)  $C^1(\mathbb{R}^p, \mathbb{R}) \cap Lip \subset \tilde{\mathbb{D}}$  and  $\forall F \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^p, \mathbb{R}) \cap Lip$ ,
- $$\mathcal{E}[F(U_n)] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}[F].$$



i),ii) and iii) the bilinear form  $(C^1(\mathbb{R}^p, \mathbb{R}) \cap Lip, \tilde{\mathcal{E}})$  is closable and may be extended to a local Dirichlet form having a squared field operator.

**For convenience, we will say that  $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{D}^p$  converges in  $\mathbb{D}$ -law toward  $\tilde{S}$ .**

**Remarks :** • There are examples where i) and ii) are fulfilled but not iii).

- The limit is unique if one forces  $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}$  to be minimal for inclusion.

- If  $(U_n)$  converges toward  $U$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ , then  $(U_n)$  converges in  $\mathbb{D}$ -law toward  $U_* S$ .

#### 4) Central Limit Theorem in $\mathbb{R}^d$ (Bouleau-Hirsch)

Let  $(U_n)$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{D}^p$  of Dirichlet-independent random variables with the same Dirichlet-law. If we suppose that  $U_1 = (U_1^1, \dots, U_1^p)$  has zero mean and a covariance matrix denoted by  $\Sigma$  then,  $V_n = \frac{U_1 + \dots + U_n}{\sqrt{n}}$  converges in Dirichlet-law toward  $\tilde{S} = (\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d), \nu, \tilde{\mathbb{D}}, \tilde{\Gamma})$  where

a)  $\nu$  is a gaussian measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with zero mean and covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ ,

b)  $\forall F \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^p, \mathbb{R}) \cap Lip$ ,

$$\tilde{\Gamma}[F] = \sum_{i,j=1}^p \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j} a_{i,j}$$

where  $a_{i,j} = \mathcal{E}[U_1^i, U_1^j]$ .

#### Sketch of the proof :

i) Classical CLT in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ .

ii) By a direct computation.

iii) Using the classical integration by part formulae,

$\forall F, G \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^p, \mathbb{R}) \cap Lip$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}[F, G] = - \langle A[F], G \rangle_{L^2(\nu)} . \square$$



# INFINITE DIMENSION

In this section we suppose that  $S = (W, \mathcal{W}, m, \mathbb{D}, \Gamma)$  is an error structure having a gradient :

There exists a separable Hilbert space  $(\mathcal{H}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}})$  and an operator  $\nabla$  from  $\mathbb{D}$  into  $L^2(m; \mathcal{H})$  such that

$$\forall X \in \mathbb{D} \quad \|\nabla X\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \Gamma[X].$$

Let  $B$  be a Banach space having a Schauder basis.

**We would like to extend the notion of domain for  $B$ -valued random variables.**

## 1) Vectorial domain of a Dirichlet form

Let us denote by  $J$  an isometry from  $\mathcal{H}$  into  $L^2((\tilde{W}, \tilde{\mathcal{W}}, \tilde{m}))$  such that  $\forall h \in \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{m}}[J(h)] = 0$ . The sharp operator  $\#$  is defined in the following way,  $\forall U \in \mathbb{D}$ ,

$$U^\# = J(\nabla U) \in L^2(m \otimes \tilde{m}).$$

**Definition (Feyel-La Pradelle) :** Let us denote by  $\mathbb{D}_B$  the vector space of random variables  $U$  in  $L^2(m; B)$  such that there exists  $g$  in  $L^2(m \otimes \tilde{m}; B)$  so that

$$\forall \lambda \in B', \langle \lambda, U \rangle \in \mathbb{D} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \lambda, U \rangle^\# = \langle \lambda, g \rangle.$$

We then put  $g = U^\#$  and one equips  $\mathbb{D}_B$  with the norm

$$\|U\|_{\mathbb{D}_B} = \left( \|U\|_{L^2(m; B)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|U^\#\|_{L^2(m \otimes \tilde{m}; B)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

**Example :** If  $B$  is a separable Hilbert space we have the following characterization, for  $U \in L^2(m; B)$

$$U \in \mathbb{D}_B$$



There is an orthonormal basis  $(e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $B$  such that

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \langle e_i, U \rangle \in \mathbb{D} \text{ and } \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}[\langle e_i, U \rangle] < \infty.$$

and in this case

$$U^\# = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \langle e_i, U \rangle^\# e_i.$$

**2) Extension of the functional calculus and image of an error structure by an element of its vectorial domain**

• **Functional Calculus**

**Proposition :** Let  $F$  be a Lipschitz function from  $B$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ .

a) If  $U \in \mathbb{D}_B$ ,  $F(U) \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $\Gamma[F(U)] \leq K^2 \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{m}}[\|U^\#\|_B^2]$ .

b) Moreover, if we suppose that  $F$  is of class  $C^1$ ,

$$\Gamma[F(U)] = \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{m}}[\langle F'(U), U^\# \rangle^2]. \quad (*)$$

**Remark :** If  $B = \mathbb{R}^p$

$$(*) \Leftrightarrow \Gamma[F(U)] = \sum_{i,j=1}^p F'_i(U) F'_j(U) \Gamma[U_i, U_j]$$

- **Image**

Now, the  $\mathbb{D}$ -law of  $U \in \mathbb{D}_B$  may be defined naturally because the well-defined bilinear form

$$(C^1(B, \mathbb{R}) \cap Lip, F \mapsto \mathcal{E}[F(U)])$$

is closable and its smallest closed extension  $(\mathbb{D}_U, \mathcal{E}_U)$  is a local Dirichlet form with a squared field operator denoted by  $\Gamma_U$ . We set

$$U_*S = (B, \mathcal{B}(B), U_*m, \mathbb{D}_U, \Gamma_U).$$

- **$\mathbb{D}$ -independence**

The random variables  $U_1$  and  $U_2 \in \mathbb{D}_B$  are said to be  $\mathbb{D}$ -independent if

$$(U_1)_*S \otimes (U_2)_*S = (U_1, U_2)_*S.$$

- **Convergence in  $\mathbb{D}$ -law**

We say that a sequence  $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{D}_B$  converges in  $\mathbb{D}$ -law if there exists an error structure  $\tilde{S} = (B, \mathcal{B}(B), P, \tilde{\mathbb{D}}, \tilde{\Gamma})$  such that :

- i)  $(U_n)_*m \rightarrow P$  weakly in  $B$ ,
- ii)  $C^1(B, \mathbb{R}) \cap Lip \subset \tilde{\mathbb{D}}$  and  $\forall F \in C^1(B, \mathbb{R}) \cap Lip$ ,  $\mathcal{E}[F(U_n)] \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}[F]$ .

**Remark :** The convergence in  $\mathbb{D}_B$  implies the convergence in  $\mathbb{D}$ -law.

### 3) Extension of the CLT in separable Hilbert spaces

Let  $(H, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$  be a separable Hilbert space and  $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  be a sequence of centred variables of  $\mathbb{D}_H$ , Dirichlet-independent with the same Dirichlet law. If we denote by  $\Sigma$  the covariance operator of  $U_1$ , then,  $V_n = \frac{U_1 + \dots + U_n}{\sqrt{n}}$  converges in Dirichlet law toward  $\tilde{S} = (H, \mathcal{B}(H), \nu, \tilde{\mathbb{D}}, \tilde{\Gamma})$  where

i)  $\nu$  is a centred gaussian measure on  $H$  with  $\Sigma$  as covariance operator,

ii)  $\forall F \in C^1(H, \mathbb{R}) \cap Lip, F \in \tilde{\mathbb{D}}$  and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}[F] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{H^2} \langle F'(x), y \rangle^2 d\mu(x, y)$$

where  $\mu$  is a centred gaussian measure on  $H^2$  with a covariance operator  $K$  defined by  $\forall z = (z_1, z_2), \tilde{z} = (\tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2)$  in  $H^2$

$$\langle Kz, \tilde{z} \rangle_{H^2} = \langle \Sigma z_1, \tilde{z}_1 \rangle + \mathcal{E}[\langle U_1, z_2 \rangle, \langle U_1, \tilde{z}_2 \rangle],$$

iii)  $(C^1(H, \mathbb{R}) \cap Lip, \tilde{\mathcal{E}})$  is closable. Its smallest closed extension is  $(\tilde{\mathbb{D}}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}})$  that admits a squared field operator  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ .

Thus  $(V_n)$  converges in  $\mathbb{D}$ -law toward an error structure of **the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type.**

In fact if  $F = f(\langle e_{i_1}, \cdot \rangle, \dots, \langle e_{i_p}, \cdot \rangle)$ ,

$$\tilde{\Gamma}[F] = \sum_{k,l=1}^p f'_k f'_l (\langle e_{i_1}, x \rangle, \dots, \langle e_{i_p}, x \rangle) a_{i_k, i_l}$$

where  $a_{i_k, i_l} = \mathcal{E}[\langle e_{i_k}, U_1 \rangle, \langle e_{i_l}, U_1 \rangle]$ .

**Sketch of the proof :** i) Classical CLT.

ii) By the functional calculus on  $\mathbb{D}_H$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}[F(V_n)] &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{W \times \tilde{W}} \langle F'(V_n), V_n^\# \rangle_{H^2}^2 dm d\tilde{m} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{W \times \tilde{W}} \Phi(V_n, V_n^\#) dm d\tilde{m} \end{aligned}$$

where is a continuous function such that

$$|\Phi(x, y)| \leq K \|(x, y)\|_{H^2}^2.$$

Moreover we can restrict ourselves to the case where  $(U_n, U_n^\#)$  is a sequence of *i.i.d* random variables in  $H^2$ . By the classical CLT in  $H^2$ ,  $(V_n, V_n^\#)$  converges weakly to a gaussian measure  $\mu$  with zero mean and a covariance operator equals to  $K$ . Finally since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_P \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{P}} [\|V_n\|_H^2 + \|V_n^\#\|_H^2] &= \mathbb{E}_m [\|U_1\|_H^2] + \mathbb{E}_m \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{m}} [\|U_1^\#\|_H^2] \\ &= \int_{H^2} \|x\|_{H^2}^2 d\mu(x), \end{aligned}$$

the weak convergence of  $(V_n, V_n^\#)$  may be extended to sub-quadratic functions thus,

$$\mathcal{E}[F(V_n)] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{H^2} \langle F'(x), y \rangle^2 d\mu(x, y) = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}[F].$$

iii) From  $U_1 \in \mathbb{D}_H$ ,

$$T : \left( \begin{array}{c} H^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (x, y) \mapsto \mathcal{E}[\langle x, U_1 \rangle, \langle y, U_1 \rangle] \end{array} \right)$$

is continuous because

$$\mathcal{E}[\langle x, U_1 \rangle, \langle y, U_1 \rangle] \leq \|x\|_H \|y\|_H \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{m}} \mathbb{E}_m [\|U^\# \|_H^2].$$

Therefore, there exists a bounded operator  $C : H \rightarrow H$  such that  $T(x, y) = \langle Cx, y \rangle$ . Setting

$$D_C = C^{\frac{1}{2}} D$$

where  $D$  stands for the Fréchet derivative in  $H$  we have the following equality :  $\forall F \in C^1(H, \mathbb{R}) \cap Lip$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}[F] = \int_H \|D_C[F]\|_H^2 d\nu.$$

But using the same argument than one used in a recent paper by Goldys and Gozzi we show that

$$(C^1 \cap Lip, D_C) \text{ is closable in } L^2(\nu, H)$$

$\Updownarrow$

$$(\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}(H), C^{\frac{1}{2}} \Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \text{ is closable in } H.$$

Moreover,  $(\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}(H), C^{\frac{1}{2}} \Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}})$  is closable because  $\mathcal{E}$  is a closed form.  $\square$

**Remark :** The extension of this theorem for separable Banach spaces of type 2 is an open question.

# APPROXIMATIONS OF THE B.M

## 1) Framework

Let us denote by  $(\mathcal{C} = C_0([0, 1], \mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  the Wiener space equipped with the Wiener measure  $\nu$ .

In this section we are interested in the following approximations of the B.M :

$$Y_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n g_k \int_0^t \phi_k(s) ds \quad \forall t \in [0, 1] \quad (2)$$

where  $(\phi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $L^2([0, 1], dx)$  and  $(g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  a sequence of i.i.d gaussian random variables (with zero mean and variance equals to 1) defined on the probability space  $(W, \mathcal{W}, P)$ .

**Remark :** 1) When  $(\phi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  is the trigonometric basis of  $L^2([0, 1], dx)$ ,  $(Y_n)$  is the sequence studied by Wiener in his historical construction of the B.M (1923).

2) When  $(\phi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  is the Haar basis,  $(Y_n)$  is the Lévy polygonal approximation of the B.M.

We have the following classical convergence result :

**Theorem 1 :** The sequence  $(Y_n)$  converges uniformly almost-surely and in  $L^2(P; \mathcal{C})$  toward a stochastic process having the same law than the B.M.

There are three ways to prove this result :

- **Garsia, Rodemich, Rumsey approach.**
- **The vectorial martingale theorem.**
- **The Lévy-Îto-Nisio theorem.**

## 2) Extensions

### a) First extension

We suppose that the  $(g_k)$  are erroneous and that their errors are i.i.d and modelised by the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck structure on  $\mathbb{R}$ . In other words the  $(g_k)$  are the coordinate mappings of the following error structure

$$S = (W, \mathcal{W}, P, \mathbb{D}, \Gamma) = (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), m = \mathcal{N}(0, 1), H^1(m), (u')^2)^{\mathbb{N}^*}.$$

It is easy to construct a sharp operator on  $S$  thanks to a copy  $(\tilde{W}, \tilde{\mathcal{W}}, \tilde{P})$  of  $(W, \mathcal{W}, P)$  putting, for

$$U = F(g_1, \dots, g_n, \dots) \in \mathbb{D}$$

$$U^\# = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial F}{\partial g_i}(g_1, \dots, g_n, \dots) \tilde{g}_i$$

where the  $(\tilde{g}_k)$  are the coordinate mappings of the copy space.

We can see that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^* Y_n \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{C}}$  and we have the expected result :



**Proposition 1** ( $Y_n(\cdot)$ ) converges in  $\mathbb{D}$ -law toward the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck structure on the Wiener space.

**Proof :** It is an immediate consequence of the functional calculus in  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{C}}$  and of the following equality

$$Y_n^\#(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{g}_k \int_0^t \phi_k(s) ds. \square$$

**Corollary 1 :** The sequence  $(Y_n)$  converges uniformly quasi surely according to the capacity associated to the error structure  $S$ .

**Corollary 2 :**  $\|Y_n(\cdot)\|_\infty$  (resp.  $\sup_{t \in [0,1]} X_n(t)$ ) converges in  $\mathbb{D}$ -law toward  $(\|B_\cdot\|_\infty)_* S_{OU}$  (resp.  $\sup_{t \in [0,1]} (B_t)_* S_{OU}$ ).

**Remark :** This method can be generalized to any gaussian process that satisfies the Fernique continuity criterium. In particular this is the case of the fractional B.M with Hurst parameter  $0 < H < 1$ .

## b) Interpretation in terms of simulation

Suppose we want to simulate the B.M using the random serie

$$Y_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n g_k \int_0^t \phi_k(s) ds \quad \forall t \in [0, 1] \quad (3)$$

(this is known as the Karhunen-Loève method). Thus we have to generate a sequence of i.i.d gaussian variables. If we

use the inversion method, it suffices to generate an i.i.d family  $(U_k)$  of uniform random variables and to set  $g_k = F^{-1}(U_k)$ .

The preceding proposition tell us that if we suppose that the errors on the  $U_k$  are independent (even if this random variables are generated with the same generator) and modelised by the pseudo-gaussian error structure on  $[0, 1]$ , the error on the simulated B.M can be represented by the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck structure on the Wiener space.

In fact the pseudo gaussian structure is defined as

$$F_*(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), m = \mathcal{N}(0, 1), H^1(m), (u')^2).$$

**This leads us to the natural question : What happens when we modelised the error on  $U_k$  by another error structure ?**

**c) Second extension**

Let  $a : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a non negative continuous function such that

$$S = ([0, 1], \mathcal{B}([0, 1]), dx, dl, f \mapsto a(x)(f'(x))^2)$$

is an error structure with  $C^1([0, 1]) \subset dl$  ( this imposes conditions on  $a$  in relation with the Hamza theorem). If we suppose that

$$c = \int_0^1 \frac{a}{[F'(F^{-1})]^2} dx < \infty \quad (*)$$

we can show that  $F^{-1} \in dl$ . Thus we have the following

result :

**Proposition 2 :** Let us suppose that the  $U_k$  are the coordinate mappings of the error structure  $S^{N^*}$  and that the condition (\*) holds, then  $(Y_n)$  converges in Dirichlet law toward  $S_{OU}^c$ .

d) **Third extension**

The preceding results remain valid if the gaussian variables  $g_k$  are generated by other methods (Box Muller, CLT).